

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/806,151	03/23/2004	Junichi Harasawa	119221	1959	
25944 75	590 11/01/2005		EXAMINER		
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 19928			CONSILVIO, MARK J		
ALEXANDRIA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•	,		2872		

DATE MAILED: 11/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/806,151	HARASAWA ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Mark Consilvio	2872			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence add	dress		
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory put to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by steply received by the Office later than three months after the red patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUN FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO statute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this constant (BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	•				
2a) <u></u> □		This action is non-final.				
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4) 🖾	Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the applica	ation.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	ndrawn from consideration.				
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•				
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	,				
8)∐	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.				
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b)⊠ objected to	by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PT	O-152.		
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) 又	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eian priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f)			
•	⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:	g p,	3 (4) (4)			
·	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority docum		Application No			
	$3.\square$ Copies of the certified copies of the	priority documents have been	n received in this National S	Stage		
	application from the International Bu	ıreau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a	a list of the certified copies no	t received.			
Attachmen	t(s)					
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)			
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date	152)		
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE r No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/04 and 4/04</u> .	6) Notice of Cher:	Informal Patent Application (PTO- 	-13∠)		

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### **Priority**

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

#### Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statements (IDS) submitted on 3/23/2004 and 4/21/2004 are in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statements are being considered by the examiner.

#### Drawings

New corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in this application because no drawings have been submitted. Applicant is advised to employ the services of a competent patent draftsperson outside the Office, as the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office no longer prepares new drawings. The corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The requirement for corrected drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Specification

The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hugo (US Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0018360).

With respect to claim 1, Hugo discloses a color sheet having a light-shielding effect, comprising at least a reflecting layer and a color layer where the color layer is exposed to light from the reflecting layer, the reflecting layer having a solar-radiation reflectance of 60% or more in a wavelength range of 780 nm to 1350 nm, the color layer having a light transmittance of 30% or more in a wavelength range of 780 nm to 1350 nm (pars. 13 and 14). Hugo is silent to a solar-radiation absorbance of 10 to 80% in a wavelength range of 380 nm to 780 nm. However, it is known in the art that various pigments may be used to provide the particular visible absorbance desired (See e.g. pars. 1 and 2). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the teachings of Hugo to provide visible

Application/Control Number: 10/806,151

Art Unit: 2872

absorbance between 10 to 80%. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this to adjust the color depth of the material depending on desired aesthetic effects.

With respect to claim 2, Hugo discloses or suggest the reflecting layer is made of a polyvinyl chloride type resin (par. 19) compounded with a titanium oxide type white pigment (par. 73), and with at least one material selected from the group consisting of glass beads, hollow glass balloons, and microcapsules (par. 34), and has a thickness of 0.1 to 1 mm (par. 35). Though a plasticizer is not expressly disclosed, PVC is a hard and brittle substance at room temperature. Therefore, at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use a plasticizer to soften the substrate in order to useful with the disclosed examples.

With respect to claims 3 and 4, Hugo discloses the color layer contains at least one material selected from a polyvinyl chloride type resin (par. 19), an acrylic type resin or a urethane type resin (par. 27), and has a thickness of 0.1 to 0.5 mm (par. 35). While Hugo does not expressly disclose a solar-radiation absorbance of 40 to 80% in a wavelength range of 380 nm to 780 nm, at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the teachings of Hugo to provide visible absorbance between 40 to 80% to adjust the color depth of the material depending on desired aesthetic effects.

With respect to claims 5-9, Hugo does not expressly disclose the reflecting layer is formed in a sheet-shape by coating paste plastisol containing a polyvinyl chloride type resin as a main ingredient onto a base material, and heating and curing the plastisol. However, it is noted that "even though product-by-process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does

Art Unit: 2872

not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process" In re Thorpe, 777 F.2d 695, 698, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985). The process in the instant case would not result in a product distinct from that disclose above.

With respect to claims 10-13, Hugo discloses that the element can be provided for woven or non-woven fabrics (par. 18) but does not expressly disclose a tent or tarpaulin made from the sheet above. However, it is noted that a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In a claim drawn to a process of making, the intended use must result in a manipulative difference as compared to the prior art. See In re Casey, 152 USPO 235 (CCPA1967) and In re Otto, 136 USPO 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Consilvio whose telephone number is (571) 272-2453. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday, 8:30 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Drew Dunn can be reached on (571) 272-2312. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/806,151

Art Unit: 2872

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark Consilvio

USPTO Patent Examiner Jefferson, 3C21 AU-2872

(571) 272-2453

DREW A. DUNN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER